

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,586	05/24/2002	Hans Lander	10191/2175	6461
26646 75	90 06/12/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			HWU, DAVIS D	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· - ,			3752	

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/030,586	LANDER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Davis D. Hwu	3752			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	ay 2006.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	○ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 and 19-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
🗂	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
· <u> </u>	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
S Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/030,586 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pace et al. in view of Pontoppidan.

Pace et al. discloses a fuel injector comprising a fuel inlet, a movable valve-closure member 42, a fixed valve seat element 26 to cooperate with the valve-closure member as recited, and a downstream valve end including an outlet component 28 and a fuel outlet 40 wherein the fuel outlet includes at least one discharge orifice of the outlet component and the at least one discharge orifice is arranged downstream of the fixed valve seat element. Pontoppidan teaches a fuel injector comprising a movable valve-closure member 9 and a fixed valve seat element to cooperate with the valve-closure member to open and close a valve in which the seat has an outlet that includes a coating around the discharge orifice including in an immediate exterior of an outlet area of the discharge orifice to protect against scaling. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Pace et al. by providing a coating at least one discharge orifice as taught by Pontoppidan in order to protect against scaling.

Art Unit: 3752

3. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pace et al. in view of Pontoppidan as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Fedorovich et al.

٠..

Fedorovich et al. teaches coating internal combustion engines with fluorosilicate to improve heat resistance of the various surfaces of the engines. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Pace et al. and Pontoppidan by providing a coating comprising fluorosilicate as taught by Fedorovich et al. in order to provide heat resistance also. The actual coating area would have been a matter of design choice depending on the required coverage area and the method of spraying or dipping as recited in claim 24 would have been a matter of production preference since both methods are known in the art.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

Art Unit: 3752

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Davis Hwu